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## Tracking data



## Fields

- Ecology:
- Migration and home range studies;
- Animal behavior understanding;
- Species management;


## Tracking data (2)

## Tracking devices

- Camera traps, Radio collars but mostly GPS;

| ID | Longitude | Latitude | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willy | -1.234 | 49.156 | $05 / 19 / 201004: 13: 12$ |
| Willy | -1.456 | 49.23 | $05 / 19 / 201004: 14: 58$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| Papa Youn | -2.314 | 48.236 | $05 / 28 / 2018$ 15:40:41 |

Time lags between 2 observations
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## A lot of data

## Increasing amount of data

- GPS devices becomes more and more efficient;
- Less and less expensive;



## Automated tracking

- Fine scale for tracking:
- Individual scale;
- Fine time scale;
- Fine spatial resolution.


## A classical question: Segmenting the movement

- Identifying different behaviors along the trajectory;


Source: Gurarie et al, 2017
Works in MIA-Paris Segmentation through statistical approaches:

- Hidden Markov models (M. Delattre, M.P. Etienne, P. Gloaguen)
- Segmentation in continuous time (J. Chiquet, S. Donnet, M.P. Etienne)


## (1) Context of movement ecology

(2) Home range and utilization distribution
(3) The Langevin movement model

## Home range analysis and utilization distribution

## The home range (HR) concept [Burt, 1943]

"That area traversed by an individual in its normal activities of food gathering, matin, and caring for young."

## From positions to maps

- Quantifying HR from GPS tracking;


## Utilization distribution

Probability of finding an animal:

- $X_{t}$ : animal's location at time $t$;
- For an area $\mathcal{A}$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \in \mathcal{A}\right)=\int_{\mathcal{A}} \pi(z) \mathrm{d} z
$$

- $\pi$ is the utilization distribution (UD)



## Utilization distribution estimation

## Utilization distribution

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \in \mathcal{A}\right)=\int_{\mathcal{A}} \pi(z) \mathrm{d} z
$$

## Kernel density estimation [Worton, 1989]

- Assuming all observed locations $X_{t_{1}}, \ldots X_{t_{n}}$ i.i.d;
- Estimating $\pi$ via kernel density methods;


Independence assumption
Kernel estimation

## Linking spatial distribution to covariates

Linking the UD to the environment


For environmental spatial covariates $c_{1}(z), c_{2}(z), \ldots, c_{J}(z)$, assuming that:

$$
\pi(z)=f\left(c_{1}(z), c_{2}(z), \ldots, c_{J}(z), \theta\right)
$$

$f$ is a resource selection function (RSF).

## Classical example of RSF

$$
\pi(z) \propto \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} \beta_{j} c_{j}(z)\right)
$$

Each $\beta_{j}$ determines the influence of covariate $j$ on the UD.

## Link with movement ecology

## Problems

- The UD estimation forgets the movement (independence assumption);
- The UD should "rise" from individual's movements;


## Idea: Introducing a movement model

- GPS observations are not independent:
- They are issued from a process;
- Movements leads to a state occupancy, the UD:
- The UD is the density of the process observations (after a while);
- The movement is driven by spatial covariates;
- Thus, the covariates rule the UD through the movement process;
(1) Context of movement ecology
(2) Home range and utilization distribution
(3) The Langevin movement model
- Evaluating the quality of the Euler approximation


## Langevin movement model (Michelot et al. submitted)

## Langevin diffusion

Let $\pi(x, \theta)$ be a smooth probability density function.
Let $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be the position process of an individual, starting at $X_{0}$. Suppose that $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\frac{1}{2} \nabla \log \pi\left(X_{t}, \theta\right) \mathrm{d} t+d W_{t}, \quad X_{0}=x_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a (asymptotic) stationary process, with $\pi(x, \theta)$ as stationary distribution, i.e.,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \in \mathcal{A}\right) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \pi(z) \mathrm{d} z
$$

[Roberts and Tweedie, 1996]
The model defined by (1) links the movement to the utilization distribution.

## Langevin movement model (Michelot et al)



$$
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\frac{1}{2} \nabla \log \pi\left(X_{t}, \theta\right) \mathrm{d} t+d W_{t}
$$

$$
\rightarrow X_{t} \stackrel{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \pi(z)
$$

## Parametric example of the Langevin movement model

$$
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\frac{1}{2} \nabla \log \pi\left(X_{t}, \theta\right) \mathrm{d} t+d W_{t}, X_{0}=x_{0}
$$

## Back to a popular resource selection function



- For covariates $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{j}$, assume that $\pi(z) \propto \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} \beta_{j} c_{j}(z)\right)$
- Then, assuming that covariate fields are smooth:

$$
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta_{1} \nabla c_{1}\left(X_{i}\right)+\beta_{2} \nabla c_{2}\left(X_{i}\right)+\cdots+\beta_{J} \nabla c_{J}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t+d W_{t}, X_{0}=x_{0}
$$

- $\beta_{j}$ determines the influence of covariate $j$ on movement (then, on the UD!).


## Estimation of $\theta$

## Observations

- The continuous process $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is observed at discrete times $t_{0}, \ldots, t_{n}, X_{o b s}=X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n} ;$

By Markov property of the solution to the SDE, the loglikelihood is:

$$
\ell\left(\theta \mid X_{o b s}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log p_{\theta}\left(X_{i+1} \mid X_{i}, \Delta_{i}\right)
$$

where

- $\Delta_{i}:=t_{i+1}-t_{i}$
- $p_{\theta}\left(x \mid X_{i}, \Delta_{i}\right)$ is the transition density, i.e., the p.d.f. of $X_{i+1} \mid X_{i}$;


## Problem

- In general, $p_{\theta}$ has no analytic expression, (even when $\theta$ is known);
- $\Rightarrow$ The likelihood can't be computed;


## An approximated inference scheme

The continous time process:

$$
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\frac{1}{2} \nabla \log \pi\left(X_{t}, \theta\right) \mathrm{d} t+d W_{t}, \quad X_{0}=x_{0}
$$

is approximated by the discrete time (with irregular time steps) process:

$$
X_{i+1}-X_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \nabla \log \pi\left(X_{i} \mid \theta\right) \times \Delta_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad \varepsilon_{i} \stackrel{i n d}{\sim} N\left(0, \Delta_{i} l_{2}\right)
$$

which becomes

$$
\underbrace{\frac{X_{i+1}-X_{i}}{\sqrt{\Delta_{i}}}}_{:=Y_{i}}=\frac{\sqrt{\Delta_{i}}}{2} \nabla \log \pi\left(X_{i} \mid \theta\right)+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad \varepsilon_{i} \stackrel{i n d}{\sim} N\left(0, I_{2}\right) .
$$

Only involves Gaussian distribution, thus, maximum likelihood can pe performed.

## Estimation in resource selection function example



The Euler approximation then gives

$$
Y_{i}=\frac{\sqrt{\Delta_{i}}}{2}\left(\beta_{1} \nabla c_{1}\left(X_{i}\right)+\beta_{2} \nabla c_{2}\left(X_{i}\right)+\cdots+\beta_{J} \nabla c_{J}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad \varepsilon_{i} \stackrel{i n d}{\sim} N\left(0, I_{2}\right)
$$

## Estimators

Classical ones of the linear model!

- Explicit estimators;
- Explicit confidence intervals;
- Model checking;

The quality depends on Euler approximation quality!

- Must be interpreted with caution;


## Ecological application advisory

The next slide is extremely cute!

## Meet the Stellar sea lion (Eumetopias jubatus)



## Data set application

- Data set described in Wilson et al. [2018], and provided in their R package.
- Steller sea lions (Eumetopias jubatus) in Alaska.
- 3 trajectories, (3 different individuals), total of 2672 Argos locations.
- Time intervals were highly irregular, with percentiles $P_{0.025}=6 \mathrm{~min}$, $P_{0.5}=1.28 \mathrm{~h}, P_{0.975}=17.4 \mathrm{~h}$.
- 4 spatial covariates over the study region;
- Fitting of Langevin movement model, with the resource selection function;



## Results

|  | Estimate | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta_{1}$ (Bathy) | $1.39 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $\left(-3.87 \cdot 10^{-7}, 2.79 \cdot 10^{-4}\right)$ |
| $\beta_{2}$ (Slope) | 0.12 | $(-0.14,0.37)$ |
| $\beta_{3}$ (DistSite) | $-2.50 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $\left(-3.58 \cdot 10^{-5},-1.41 \cdot 10^{-5}\right)$ |
| $\beta_{4}$ (DistShelf) | $3.47 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $\left(2.05 \cdot 10^{-7}, 6.73 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)$ |



What can we say about the inference quality? The goodness of fit?

## Is the Euler approximation reliable?

The Euler approximation giving (that gives $\hat{q}_{\theta}$ ) is only valid when $\Delta \rightarrow 0$. How estimates can be trusted depending on $\Delta$ ?

## An interesting statistic? The MALA ratio

For two observations $x_{t}$ and $x_{t+1}$, let's consider:

$$
z_{t}=\frac{\pi\left(x_{t}\right) \hat{q}_{\theta}\left(x_{t} \mid x_{t+1}\right)}{\pi\left(x_{t+1}\right) \hat{q}_{\theta}\left(x_{t+1} \mid x_{t}\right)}
$$

If $\hat{q}_{\theta}=q_{\theta} \Rightarrow z_{t}=1 \Leftrightarrow\left(1-z_{t}\right)^{2}=1$.
On the data set, for the chosen $\hat{q}_{\theta}$ every $\left(1-z_{t}\right)^{2}, t=0, \ldots, n$ can be computed.



The median tends to one when the Euler approximation gets ugly.

## Checking model's residuals

Does any structure remain in the residuals?


What can we do to improve this?

## Conclusions

## The Langevin movement model

- Links movement and utilization distribution;
- Formulated in continuous time (sampling independent formulation);
- Naturally allows inclusion of classical resource selection function;
- (Approximated) Estimation framework based on classical linear regression;
- "User-friendly" inference framework for collaboration with ecologists


## Statistical challenges

- Exact inference?
- General tools based on importance sampling techniques recently developped, the continuous time importance sampling (CIS) [Fearnhead et al., 2017]
- What if the position is observed with error?
- Inference could be performed using CIS and algorithms for HMMs based on SDEs (joint work with S. Le Corff and Jimmy Olsson).
- What if the position is observed with error and with regime switching?
- ???


## Merci!



## References

W. H. Burt. Territoriality and home range concepts as applied to mammals. Journal of Mammalogy, 24(3):pp. 346-352, 1943.
P. Fearnhead, K. Latuszynski, G. O. Roberts, and G. Sermaidis. Continuous-time importance sampling: Monte carlo methods which avoid time-discretisation error. arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.06201, 2017.
G. O. Roberts and R. L. Tweedie. Exponential convergence of langevin distributions and their discrete approximations. Bernoulli, pages 341-363, 1996 .
K. Wilson, E. Hanks, and D. Johnson. Estimating animal utilization densities using continuous-time markov chain models. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 9(5):1232-1240, 2018.
B. J. Worton. Kernel methods for estimating the utilization distribution in home-range studies. Ecology, 70(1):164-168, 1989.

