PLNmodels

A collection of Poisson lognormal models for multivariate analysis of count data

Julien Chiquet, MIA Paris

joint work with M. Mariadasou, S. Robin

AG MIA, Jouy-en-Josas, May, 22 2019

J.C., Mahendra Mariadassou, Stéphane Robin,

Variational inference for probabilistic Poisson PCA http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/18-A0AS1177 Ann Appl Statist 12: 2674-2698, 2018

J.C., Mahendra Mariadassou, Stéphane Robin,

Variational inference for sparse network reconstruction from count data In Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML'19)

PLNmodels package, development version on github install.packages("PLNmodels") https://jchiquet.github.io/PLNmodels/





1

Motivations: oak powdery mildew pathobiome

Metabarcoding data from [JFS⁺16]

▶ n = 116 leaves, p = 114 species (66 bacteria, 47 fungies + *E. alphitoides*)

```
counts[1:3, c(1:4, 48:51)]
        f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4 E_alphitoides b_1045 b_109 b_1093
##
## A1.02 72 5 131
                   0
                                  0
                                         0
## A1.03 516 14 362 0
                                  0
                                        0
                                          0
## A1.04 305 24 238 0
                                  0
                                         0
                                              0
                                                     0
```

t = 8 covariates (tree susceptibility, distance to trunk, orientation, \dots)

```
covariates[1:3, ]
##
               tree distTOtrunk distTOground pmInfection orientation
## A1.02 intermediate
                           202
                                     155.5
                                                              SW
## A1.03 intermediate
                           175 144.5
                                                              SW
                                                    0
                     168
## A1.04 intermediate
                                   141.5
                                                    0
                                                              SW
```

Sampling effort in each sample (bacteria ≠ fungi)

```
offsets[1:3, c(1:4, 48:51)]
```

##		f_1	f_2	f_3	f_4	E_alphitoides	b_1045	b_109	b_1093
##	[1,]	2488	2488	2488	2488	2488	8315	8315	8315
##	[2,]	2054	2054	2054	2054	2054	662	662	662
##	[3,]	2122	2122	2122	2122	2122	480	480	480

Problematic & Basic formalism

Data tables: $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_{ij}), n \times p; \mathbf{X} = (X_{ik}), n \times d; \mathbf{O} = (O_{ij}), n \times p$ where

- Y_{ij} = abundance (read counts) of species j in sample i
- X_{ik} = value of covariate k in sample i
- $O_{ij} = \text{offset (sampling effort) for species } j$ in sample i

Need a generic framework to model dependences between count variables

- account for peculiarities of count data
 vary over many orders of magnitude
 are overdispersed
- exhibit patterns of diversity
 - \rightsquigarrow summarize the information from Y (PCA, clustering, ...)
- understand between-species interactions
 - → 'network' inference (variable/covariance selection)
- correct for technical and confounding effects
 - \rightsquigarrow account for covariables and sampling effort

Models for multivariate count data

If we were in a Gaussian world, the general linear model would be appropriate

For each sample $i = 1, \ldots, n$, it explains

- the abundances of the p species (\mathbf{Y}_i)
- \blacktriangleright by the values of the d covariates \mathbf{X}_i and the p offsets \mathbf{O}_i



But we are not, and there is no generic model for multivariate counts

- ▶ Data transformation (log, ,/): quick and dirty
- Non-Gaussian multivariate distributions: do not scale to data dimension
- Latent variable models: interaction occur in a latent (unobserved) layer

Models for multivariate count data

If we were in a Gaussian world, the general linear model would be appropriate

For each sample $i = 1, \ldots, n$, it explains

- the abundances of the p species (\mathbf{Y}_i)
- \blacktriangleright by the values of the d covariates \mathbf{X}_i and the p offsets \mathbf{O}_i



But we are not, and there is no generic model for multivariate counts

- **•** Data transformation $(\log, \sqrt{})$: quick and dirty
- Non-Gaussian multivariate distributions: do not scale to data dimension
- Latent variable models: interaction occur in a latent (unobserved) layer

Poisson-log normal (PLN) distribution

A latent Gaussian model

Originally proposed by Atchisson [AH89]

 $\mathbf{Z}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$

$$\mathbf{Y}_i \,|\, \mathbf{Z}_i \sim \mathcal{P}(\exp{\{\mathbf{O}_i + \mathbf{X}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Theta} + \mathbf{Z}_i\}})$$

Interpretation

- Dependency structure encoded in the latent space (i.e. in Σ)
- Additional effects are fixed
- Conditional Poisson distribution = noise model

Properties

- $+ \ \, \text{over-dispersion}$
- $+ \,$ covariance with arbitrary signs
- maximum likelihood via EM algorithm is limited to a couple of variables

Geometrical view

5 -0



10

species 1

Observation Space (exp(Z))



Observation Space (Y) + noise



Geometrical view (with offset)



Observation Space (Y = P(exp(Z + O))) + noise



Observation Space (exp(Z+O))



Observation Space (Y) + noise



7

Intractable EM

Aim of the inference:

- estimate $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{\Theta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$
- \blacktriangleright predict the \mathbf{Z}_i

Maximum likelihood

PLN is an incomplete data model: try EM

$$\log p_{\beta}(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbb{E}[\log p_{\beta}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) | \mathbf{Y}] + \mathcal{H}[p_{\beta}(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{Y})]$$

EM requires to evaluate (some moments of)

$$p(\mathbf{Z} \,|\, \mathbf{Y}) = \prod_{i} p(\mathbf{Z}_{i} \,|\, \mathbf{Y}_{i})$$

but no close form for $p(\mathbf{Z}_i | \mathbf{Y}_i)$.

- ▶ [Kar05] resorts to numerical or Monte-Carlo integration.
- ▶ Variational approach [WJ08]: use a proxy of $p(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{Y})$.

Variational EM

Variational approximation: choose a class of distribution ${\cal Q}$

$$\mathcal{Q} = \left\{ \tilde{p} : \quad \tilde{p}(\mathbf{Z}) = \prod_{i} \tilde{p}_{i}(\mathbf{Z}_{i}), \quad \tilde{p}_{i}(\mathbf{Z}_{i}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{Z}_{i}; \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{i}, \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_{i}) \right\}$$

and maximize the lower bound ($\tilde{\mathbb{E}}=$ expectation under $\tilde{p})$

$$J(\theta, \tilde{p}) = \log p_{\beta}(\mathbf{Y}) - KL[\tilde{p}(\mathbf{Z}) || p_{\beta}(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{Y})] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\log p_{\beta}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z})] + \mathcal{H}[\tilde{p}(\mathbf{Z})]$$

Variational EM.

▶ VE step: find the optimal \tilde{p} :

$$\tilde{p}^{h} = \arg\max J(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{h}, \tilde{p}) = \arg\min_{\tilde{p} \in \mathcal{Q}} KL[\tilde{p}(\mathbf{Z}) \mid\mid p_{\boldsymbol{\beta}^{h}}(Z \mid Y)]$$

 \blacktriangleright M step: update $\hat{\beta}$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{h} = \arg \max J(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \tilde{p}^{h}) = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\log p_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z})]$$

Optimization & Implementation

Property: The lower $J(\beta, \tilde{p})$ is bi-concave, i.e.

- ▶ wrt $\tilde{p} = (\tilde{\mathbf{M}}, \tilde{\mathbf{S}})$ for given β
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ wrt } \boldsymbol{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}) \text{ for given } \tilde{p}$

but not jointly concave in general.

Optimization: projected gradient ascent for the complete parameter $(ilde{\mathbf{m}}, ilde{\mathbf{s}}, oldsymbol{eta})$

- algorithm: conservative convex separable approximations [Sva02]
- implementation: NLopt nonlinear-optimization package [Joh11]
- initialization: LM after log-trasnformation applied independently on each variables + concatenation of the regression coefficients + Pearson residuals

PLNmodels R/C++-package: https://jchiquet.github.io/PLNmodels

PLN: natural extensions towards multivariate analysis

PCA: rank constraint on Σ .

 $\mathbf{Z}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}^{\top}), \quad \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{M}_{pk}$ with orthogonal columns.

Network: sparsity constraint on inverse covariance.

$$\mathbf{Z}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1}), \quad \|\boldsymbol{\Omega}\|_1 < c.$$

▶ LDA: maximize separation between groups with means $\mathbf{M} = [\boldsymbol{\mu}_1^\top, \dots, \boldsymbol{\mu}_K^\top]^\top$

$$\mathbf{Z}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_i = \mathbf{g}_i^\top \mathbf{M}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}), \quad \mathbf{g}_i \text{ a group indicator vector.}$$

Clustering: mixture model in the latent space

$$\mathbf{Z}_i \sim \prod_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{\mu}_k, oldsymbol{\Sigma}_k), \hspace{1em}$$
 with, e.g., $oldsymbol{\Sigma}_k$ diagonal matrices

Challenge: a variant of the variational algorithm is required for each model

PLN network model

Model:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Z}_i \text{ iid} &\sim \mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{0}_p, \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1}), & \mathbf{\Omega} \text{ sparse}, \quad \|\mathbf{\Omega}\|_{1, \text{offdiagonal}} < c \\ \mathbf{Y}_i \,|\, \mathbf{Z}_i &\sim \mathcal{P}(\exp\{\mathbf{O}_i + \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{\Theta} + \mathbf{Z}_i\}) \end{split}$$

Cheat: Use the PLN model and infer the graphical model of ${\bf Z}$

$$(i,j) \notin \mathcal{E} \Leftrightarrow Z_i \perp Z_j | Z_{\backslash \{i,j\}} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{\Omega}_{ij} = 0.$$

Graphical interpretation: $p(\mathbf{Z}_i, \mathbf{Y}_i)$ vs $p(\mathbf{Y}_i)$



PLN network model

Model:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Z}_i \; \text{iid} &\sim \mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{0}_p, \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1}), & \mathbf{\Omega} \; \text{sparse}, \; & \|\mathbf{\Omega}\|_{1, \text{offdiagonal}} < c \\ \mathbf{Y}_i \,|\, \mathbf{Z}_i &\sim \mathcal{P}(\exp\{\mathbf{O}_i + \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{\Theta} + \mathbf{Z}_i\}) \end{split}$$

Cheat: Use the PLN model and infer the graphical model of ${\bf Z}$

$$(i,j) \notin \mathcal{E} \Leftrightarrow Z_i \perp Z_j | Z_{\backslash \{i,j\}} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{\Omega}_{ij} = 0.$$

Graphical interpretation: $p(\mathbf{Z}_i, \mathbf{Y}_i)$ vs $p(\mathbf{Y}_i)$



Variational inference

Same problem: $\log p_{\beta}(\mathbf{Y})$ is intractable

Variational approximation: maximize

$$J(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \tilde{p}) - \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\Omega}\|_{1, \mathsf{off}} = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\log p_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z})] + \mathcal{H}[\tilde{p}(\mathbf{Z})] - \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\Omega}\|_{1, \mathsf{off}}$$

taking $\tilde{p} \in \mathcal{Q}$.

 \rightsquigarrow Still bi-concave in $\beta = (\mathbf{\Omega}, \Theta)$ and $\tilde{p} = (\tilde{\mathbf{M}}, \tilde{\mathbf{S}})$. Ex:

$$\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}} = rg\max_{\mathbf{\Omega}} \, rac{n}{2} \left(\log \mid \mathbf{\Omega} \mid -\operatorname{tr}(\hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}\mathbf{\Omega})
ight) - \lambda \|\mathbf{\Omega}\|_{1,\mathsf{off}}: \quad \mathsf{gLasso \ problem}$$

Model selection Alternative to model selection criteria

Sparsity level λ needs to be chosen. Stability-based approach for Network by resampling: StARS

- 1. Infers B networks $\mathbf{\Omega}^{(b,\lambda)}$ on subsamples of size m for varying λ .
- 2. Frequency of inclusion of each edges $e = i \sim j$ is estimated by

$$p_e^{\lambda} = \#\{b: \Omega_{ij}^{(b,\lambda)} \neq 0\}/B$$

- 3. Variance of inclusion of edge e is $v_e^{\lambda} = p_e^{\lambda}(1-p_e^{\lambda}).$
- 4. Network stability is $\operatorname{stab}(\lambda) = 1 2\overline{v}^{\lambda}$ where \overline{v}^{λ} is the average of the v_e^{λ} .

 \rightsquigarrow StARS¹ selects the smallest λ (densest network) for which $stab(\lambda) \ge 1 - 2\beta$

¹[LRW10] suggest using $2\beta = 0.05$ and $m = \lfloor 10\sqrt{n} \rfloor$ based on theoretical results.

An example in connection with the news

Data: first round of the French presidential election of 2017 (source: https://data.gouv.fr)

- votes cast for each of the 11 candidates in the more than 63, 000 polling stations
- voting population varied wildly From 10 to 105,891, with a median at 736 and 99.5% of the stations with less than 1,700 voters.
- patterns depend on geography

Models

- no offset
- offset: log-registered population of voters to account for different station sizes
- **covariate**: department as a proxy for geography.

Question: find competing candidates, who appeal to different voters, and compatible candidates

French Presidential: no offset



French Presidential: offset



French Presidential: departments



More "conventional" example: Oak powdery mildew data set

Three setups

- 1. $n_r = 39$ resistant samples, with covariates (orientation, distance to ground)
- 2. $n_s = 39$ susceptible samples, with covariates (orientation, distance to ground)
- 3. both samples samples, with covariates + tree effect and interactions

Network inference

PLNnetwork + 'StARS' for model selection

- 100 resamplings
- high level of stability (edges frequencies > 0.995)

Question: consensus or tree-specific networks?

PLNnetwork models: resistant

Trees resistant to mildew (E. Alphitoïdes)



PLNnetwork models: susceptible Trees susceptibles to mildew (*E. Alphitoïdes*)



PLNnetwork models: consensus Both Trees



PLNnetwork models: covariate effect

coefficients associated to orientation



Discussion

Summary

- ▶ PLN = generic model for multivariate count data analysis
- Allows for covariates
- Flexible modeling of the covariance structure
- Efficient VEM algorithm
- PLNmodels package: https://github.com/jchiquet/PLNmodels

Ongoing extension...

- Confidence interval and tests for the regular PLN
- Other covariance structures (spatial, time series, ...), mixture models,
- Zero-Inflation

Following PLN Network Raphaëlle Momal's PhD (supervized by S. Robin and C. Ambroise)

- Tree-based decomposition of the underlying graphical model
- Other Model selection criterion for network inference

References



John Aitchison and CH Ho.

The multivariate poisson-log normal distribution. Biometrika, 76(4):643-653, 1989.



B. Jakuschkin, V. Fievet, L. Schwaller, T. Fort, C. Robin, and C. Vacher.

Deciphering the pathobiome: Intra-and interkingdom interactions involving the pathogen Erysiphe alphitoides. Microbial ecology, pages 1–11, 2016.



Steven G Johnson.

The NLopt nonlinear-optimization package, 2011.



D. Karlis.

EM algorithm for mixed Poisson and other discrete distributions. Astin bulletin, 35(01):3–24, 2005.



Han Liu, Kathryn Roeder, and Larry Wasserman.

Stability approach to regularization selection (stars) for high dimensional graphical models. In Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems - Volume 2, NIPS'10, pages 1432–1440, USA, 2010. Curran Associates Inc.



Krister Svanberg.

A class of globally convergent optimization methods based on conservative convex separable approximations. SIAM journal on optimization, 12(2):555–573, 2002.



M. J. Wainwright and M. I. Jordan.

Graphical models, exponential families, and variational inference. Found. Trends Mach. Learn., 1(1-2):1-305, 2008.